

To: Rick Wilkin/ADA/USEPA/US@EPA[]
Cc: []
From: CN=Patrick DeArmond/OU=LV/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Mon 7/23/2012 11:08:41 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: Pavillion Phase V ADQ-NERL Las Vegas Lab results follow-up

Hi Rick,

Sorry, these questions were probably a result of me not providing the adequate information. Thanks for your patience, I know you guys are under a lot of pressure.

See answers below in red.

If you need anything else, please let me know, I'll provide it as soon as possible.

Patrick D. DeArmond
Environmental Sciences Division
National Exposure Research Laboratory
Office of Research & Development
U.S. EPA/P.O. Box 93478/ Las Vegas, NV 89193-3478
702-798-2102
dearmond.patrick@epa.gov

From: Rick Wilkin/ADA/USEPA/US
To: Patrick DeArmond/LV/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Steve Vandegrift/ADA/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 07/23/2012 12:51 PM
Subject: Fw: Pavillion Phase V ADQ-NERL Las Vegas Lab results follow-up

Hello Patrick - a couple of questions came up during the ADQ process. Can you have a look at the questions below and get back to us? Thanks much.

Rick

=====
Richard T. Wilkin, Ph.D.
Geochemist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
National Risk Management Research Laboratory
919 Kerr Research Drive
Ada, OK 74820

off: 580-436-8874
wilkin.rick@epa.gov
www.epa.gov/ada/

----- Forwarded by Rick Wilkin/ADA/USEPA/US on 07/23/2012 02:49 PM -----

From: Steve Vandegrift/ADA/USEPA/US
To: Rick Wilkin/ADA/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Ann Keeley/ADA/USEPA/US@EPA, David Jewett/ADA/USEPA/US@EPA, Dominic Digulio/ADA/USEPA/US@EPA, Holly Ferguson/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Lauren

Drees/CI/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 07/23/2012 02:38 PM

Subject: Pavillion Phase V ADQ-NERL Las Vegas Lab results follow-up

Rick-

There are several items needing either clarification or additional information as a result of the recent ADQ on the Phase V data. These questions should be forwarded to the Las Vegas laboratory.

1. Holding Time: It was noted that several samples were re-extracted. These re-extractions were beyond the 30 day holding time. Were any data from these re-extractions used in the final data report? If so, which ones? Yes, certain samples were re-extracted for alkylphenol or AEO analysis. Halfway through MS analysis, the mass spec that we were previously using to analyze for alkylphenols needed repair and wasn't functional. Hence, we transferred method over to new MS, but also needed to re-extract some samples due to lack of sample. Re-extractions were performed on 5/21/12 and 6/1/12. On 5/21/12, the samples that were past 30 days were LV12WAT058 (equipblk1), LV12WAT060 (PGDW20-0412), and LV12WAT062 (PGDW20d-0412). Alkylphenol data from these samples were used in the final results. Then in the 6/1/12 re-extraction, performed because some analytes were very high concentrations, LV12WAT064 (EPAMW02-0412-1) alkylphenol data was used in the final results (prior extraction provided results that exceeded calibration range).

To summarize, equipblk1, PGDW20-0412, PGDW20d-0412, and EPAMW02-0412-1 alkylphenol data were used in final data report, and these had been re-extracted past 30 day hold time.

2. Acrylamide: It does not appear that a laboratory-fortified blank was analyzed with the batch on 5/1/2012, the QAPP specifies one is to be analyzed with each batch. I looked at the batch from 5/1/12, and it appears that that is correct, no LFB was analyzed with the 5/1/12 batch. I interpreted the "batch of samples" to refer to all the samples we received from your sampling trip. Because I can only extract 6 samples at a time with our Autotrace SPE Workstation, I often analyzed the extracted samples on the MS on different days. The batch did include a full initial calibration and continuing calibration verification and a number of sample matrix spikes. The concentration of the spike solution is not clear from the run logs (these were spiked at 500 ng per 500 mL, so final concentration of 1 ppb, I can provide missing pages from run logs if needed); therefore matrix spike recovery values are not known for those samples. The laboratory should provide spike recovery values for all spikes (LFB and matrix spike) for each analytical batch (5/1, 5/14, and 5/15) and identify which samples correspond to each spike recovery.

4/26/12 LFB spike recovery = 114%

5/1/12: LV12WAT060 = PGDW20-0412, spike recovery = 102%.

5/1/12: LV12WAT062 = PGDW20d-0412, spike recovery = 129%.

5/1/12: LV12WAT066 = PGDW23-0412, spike recovery = 126%.

5/1/12: LV12WAT073 = PGDW05-0412, spike recovery = 115%.

5/14/12 LFB spike recovery = 106%

5/14/12: LV12WAT088 = EPAMW02-0412 spike recovery = 115%

5/15/12: LFB spike recovery = 120%

5/15/12 LV12WAT105 = Riverton WY truck water spike recovery = 118%

3. Alkylphenols. (a) Spike recoveries are listed in a column but it is not apparent which samples they are associated with (LFB or matrix spike). The laboratory should identify which samples correspond to each spike recovery.

For nonylphenol:

LFB 5/21/12: 63.5%

LV12WAT060 (PGDW20-0412) spike 5/21/12: 69.2%

LV12WAT062 (PGDW20d-0412) spike 5/21/12: 62.8%

LV12WAT060 (PGDW20-0412) spike 4/25/12: 57.6%

LV12WAT062 (PGDW20d-0412) spike 4/25/12: 70.9%

LV12WAT073 (PGDW05-0412) spike 4/25/12: 56%

LV12WAT105 (Riverton water) spike 5/11/12: 107%

LFB 4/20/12: 742%

For octylphenol:

LFB 5/21/12: 109%

LV12WAT060 (PGDW20-0412) spike 5/21/12: 116%

LV12WAT062 (PGDW20d-0412) spike 5/21/12: 120%
LV12WAT060 (PGDW20-0412) spike 4/25/12: 110%
LV12WAT062 (PGDW20d-0412) spike 4/25/12: 117%
LV12WAT073 (PGDW05-0412) spike 4/25/12: 105%
LV12WAT105 (Riverton water) spike 5/11/12: 126%
LFB 4/20/12: 127%

(b) It appears that the values for samples EPAMW02-0412-1 (both analytes) and octylphenol for sample EPAMW02-0412-2 are above the calibration range. Were these samples diluted and re-run to be within the calibration range?

The samples were quantitated using isotope dilution technique for alkylphenols, based on relative response between native and labeled compound. Diluting the samples would not change the ratio between native and isotope, therefore, EPAMW02-0412-1 was re-extracted on June 1, 2012 (only 50 mL was extracted instead of 500 mL). My student extracted all the samples and accidentally forgot to add labeled compound to the last EPAMW02-0412-2 sample, therefore, it could not be re-extracted one more time.

Steve

Steve Vandegrift, QA Manager
Ground Water and Ecosystems Restoration Division
NRMRL/ORD/USEPA
P.O. Box 1198
919 Kerr Research Dr.
Ada, OK 74820
(580)436-8684 (voice)
(580)436-8528 (fax)
vandegrift.steve@epa.gov